

# **DRAFT MEETING SUMMARY**

# HANFORD ADVISORY BOARD

# Public Involvement & Communications (PIC) Committee

March 16, 2021

Virtual Meeting via Teleconference and GoToMeeting

# **Topics in this Meeting Summary**

Opening
Tri-Party Agreement (TPA) Public Involvement Calendar4
Draft Advice - Public Involvement for Key TPA Documents4
Hanford Site Virtual Tour – A Look Behind the Scenes5
Environmental Management Sites - Specific Advisory Board (EMSSAB) Charges5
HAB Member Self-Assessments6
Committee Business & Open Forum
Attachments
Attendees7

This is only a summary of issues and actions discussed at this meeting. It may not represent the fullness of represented ideas or opinions, and it should not be used as a substitute for actual public involvement or public comment on any particular topic unless specifically identified as such.

Commented [RN1]: Insert Section on PIC Work Plan and Preparation for the April Leadership Workshop as added in the body of the document

Draft Meeting Summary v1 Public Involvement Committee Page 1 March 16, 2021

#### **Opening**

Jeff Burright, Oregon Department of Energy and PIC chair, welcomed meeting participants.

Stan Branch, US Department of Energy (DOE), announced that this meeting was being held in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

The TWC committee adopted the meeting summary for its December 2020 virtual meeting.

The committee elected its chair and vice chair for 2021. There was only one nomination for chair, and Jeff Burright was elected to continue to serve as chair. There were two nominations for vice chair: Susan Coleman, Public-at-Large, and Dan Solitz, Oregon Hanford Cleanup Board. The committee elected Dan Solitz to serve as PIC vice chair for 2021.

Jeff invited PIC members and others to participate in a Round Robin to help get to know each other better and to answer the question: What is an unfinished conversation at Hanford that you would like to have?

The compiled responses to the question are in the table below.

Responses to the question at the Hanford Advisory Board (HAB) Public Involvement & Communications Committee meeting on March 16, 2021

What is an unfinished conversation at Hanford that you would like to have?

Cleanu are the	the sit	More	will sti	site wi	indust	people						How d	How a	over g	over grall lot o	over go a lot o 15 yea What	over go a lot or 15 year What the Ce	over go a lot of 15 year What:	over go a lot of 15 year What: the Ce	How do over ger a lot of: 15 years What sh the Cen When is contain stored?	How do over go a lot for year go a lot for year go a lot for year what; the Ce the Contain stored Forma	How do over go a lot of 15 year What's the Ce the Contains stored Forms	How a over go a lot o'ye go a lot o'ye go a lot o'ye go what's the Ce when contains stored Forma maint over t	How a over go a lot of yea a lot of yea what is the Ce the Contain stored Formal maint over the Ce the Contain stored Formal maint over the Ce the Contain stored Formal maint over the Central Contain stored Formal maint	Note the Ce
THE FUTURE  Cleanup end states and future uses. What are the assumptions about what it looks	the site and how?	More discussions about the whole site, surrounding community, and what jobs	will still be here? Will cleanup mean the	site will be clean enough to be used by	industries or for other uses? Would	people be comfortable using the site?					and the second s	o you preserve long-term decisions	over generational timeframes? Anticipate	over generational timeframes? Anticipate a lot of staff & leadership turnover in next	over generational timeframes? Anticipate a lot of staff & leadership turnover in next 15 years - avoid turmoil in cleanup.	How do you preserve long-term decisions over generational timeframes? Anticipate a lot of staff & leadership turnover in next 15 years - avoid turmoil in cleanup. What should we assume about people in	how do you preserve long-term decisions over generational timeframes? Anticipate a lot of staff & leadership turnover in next 15 years - avoid turmoil in cleanup. What should we assume about people in the Central Plateau in the future?	o you preserve long-term decusions enerational timeframes? Anticipate staff & leadership turnover in next rs - avoid turmoil in cleanup. Should we assume about people in notal Plateau in the future?	How do you preserve long-term decisions over generational timeframes? Anticipate a lot of staff & leadership turnover in next 15 years - avoid turmoil in cleanup. What should we assume about people in the Central Plateau in the future?  When is waste disposed? If waste leaves a	How do you preserve long-term decisions over generational timeframes? Anticipate a lot of staff & leadership turnover in next 15 years - avoid turmoil in cleanup.  What should we assume about people in the Central Plateau in the future?  When is waste disposed? If waste leaves a container, it is disposed or just being stored?	How do you preserve long-term decisions over generational timeframes? Anticipate a lot of staff & leadership turnover in next. IS years - avoid turmoil in cleanup. What should we assume about people in the Central Plateau in the future? The Central Plateau in the future? When is waste disposed? If waste leaves a container, it is disposed or just being stored?	or you preserve long-term decusions enerational timeframes? Anticipate I staff & leadership turnover in next is - avoid turmoil in cleanup. Should we assume about people in notral Plateau in the future?  is waste disposed? If waste leaves a per, it is disposed or just being ize the effort to reach out to in arts, literature, and information	How do you preserve long-term decisions over generational timeframes? Anticipate a lot of staff & leadership turnover in next 15 years - avoid turmoil in cleanup.  What should we assume about people in the Central Plateau in the future?  When is waste disposed? If waste leaves a container, it is disposed or just being stored?  Formalize the effort to reach out to maintain arts, literature, and information over the long term	or you preserve long-term decusions enerational timeframes? Anticipate staff & leadership turnover in next rs - avoid turmoil in cleanup.  should we assume about people in notal Plateau in the future?  swaste disposed? If waste leaves a ner, it is disposed or just being reach out to in arts, literature, and information le long term  rity is acceptable and at what cost?	were generational timeframes? Anticipate a lot of staff & leadership turnover in next. If years - avoid turmoil in cleanup.  What should we assume about people in the Central Plateau in the future?  When is waste disposed? If waste leaves a container, it is disposed or just being stored?  Formalize the effort to reach out to maintain arts, literature, and information over the long term  How dirty is acceptable and at what cost? Hanford cleanup end state(s). What is the
		How do we encourage people to recognize the importance of not	completely giving over to the	science & maintaining ability to	acknowledge emotions and	the decisions that need to be	made. Enable experts to help us	with decisions. Taxpayer	conscious. May be others in a	The second secon	stronger position of knowledge that should be listened to.	stronger position of knowledge that should be listened to. Is the HAB really making a pos													
	nomination process for future board members?	what is incremental success as we			focusing on success.	ng re:	us				ge	ge BIPOC How are BIPOC	live	tive	tive	tive	ive	tive	V e	N. O.	š ė	V e	. Ve	V e	
WASTE Expand the areas where we	waste safely. Economize.	High level waste - who has	power? Is the	science done	right? Is it OK to	process?	1					High-level	High-level waste	High-level waste	High-level waste	High-level waste	High-level waste  Waste reclassification. Pros. Cons.	High-level waste Waste reclassification. Pros. Cons. Schedule & cost impacts.	High-level waste Waste reclassification. Pros. Cons. Schedule & cost impacts.	High-level waste Waste reclassification. Pros. Cons. Schedule & cost impacts.	High-level waste Waste reclassification. Pros. Cons. Schedule & cost impacts.	High-level waste Waste reclassification. Pros. Cons. Schedule & cost impacts.	High-level waste  Waste reclassification. Pros. Cons. Schedule & cost impacts.	High-level waste Waste Pros. Cons. Schedule & cost impacts.	High-level waste Waste reclassification. Pros. Cons. Schedule & cost impacts.
MONEY Cooperation between HAB & DOE on Hanford	can HAB receive information (and what kind)?	What kind of public health are we buying by	spending money at	Hanford? Considering	other things public	What is the greater	good? What does the	public think of the	money we spend at		Hanford? Are we getting our money's worth?	Hanford? Are we getting our money's worth?	our money's worth?	Hanford? Are we getting our money's worth?	Hanford? Are we getting our money's worth?	Hanford? Are we getting our money's worth?	our money's worth?	our money's worth?	our money's worth?	our money's worth?	our money's worth?	our money's worth?	our money's worth?	our money's worth?	our money's worth?
CONTRACTING IDIQ end state contracting.	Problems & Solutions.																								

#### Tri-Party Agreement (TPA) Public Involvement Calendar

Dana Cowley, HMIS, reviewed the DOE 3-Day Comment Period on the 242-A Evaporator Basin and the TPA 45-Day Comment Period on the M-91 Milestones. She also went over the six items in the Holding Bin:

- Class 2 for 400 Area Waste Management Unit
- Class 2 for LERF/ETF Supplemental Organic Treatment System
- Class 2 for LERF/ETF Groundwater Management Plan
- Class 3 for Single-Shell Tanks
- Class 3 PUREX tanks TK-P4 and TK-40
- · Annual Budget Priorities.

Ryan Miller, Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology) reviewed the four Ecology items on the calendar:

- 45-Day Comment Period for WTP Chapter 11 Closure Plan
- 45-Day Comment Period for 242-A Evaporator New Wall Penetrations Class 3
- 45-Day Comment Period for LERF and 200 ETF Construction of Basin 41 Class 3
- 45-Day Comment Period for 242-A Evaporator Basin 41 Tie-in Class 3.

Liz Mattson, Hanford Challenge asked if this was the second round of permit modifications for the Basin 41 tie-in construction. Dana responded that it was the second round.

Emy Laija, US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) provided the link to the 200 West EE/CA fact sheet: <a href="https://www.hanford.gov/pageAction.cfm/calendar?&IndEventID=13970">https://www.hanford.gov/pageAction.cfm/calendar?&IndEventID=13970</a>

Ryan Miller and Emy Laija informed PIC members that Ecology and the TPA agencies are working on a high-level video for the M-91 public comment period. They would like to get feedback from the PIC on what questions the video should answer. Jeff Burright said that if he were going to watch a video on M-91 he would want to know what is changing and what does this mean. He would also want to know what waste is staying at Hanford longer and where. Liz Mattson said she would like a brainstorming session to provide ideas for the video. Ryan said he would explore that possibility.

## **Draft Advice - Public Involvement for Key TPA Documents**

Steve Wiegman, Public-at-Large, proposed that the PIC have a conversation with DOE about the draft advice. That suggestion was met with mixed reviews from PIC members as that has not traditionally been done for HAB draft advice.

Pam Larsen, City of Richland, said she was not familiar with the issues behind this draft advice. Jeff Burright explained that in 2015, the TPA agencies unveiled the Inner Area Principles which addressed the long-term waste management area on the Central Plateau. In 2016, the TPA agencies briefed the HAB, and the HAB issued advice. Then recently, the Inner Area Principles were added to the TPA without any additional public comment.

Pam expressed a strong opinion that the River and Plateau (RAP) Committee needs to be part of this conversation since the Central Plateau is in the RAP scope of work. Tom Sicilia, Oregon Office of Energy

Draft Meeting Summary v1 Public Involvement Committee and RAP chair, indicated that RAP has requested a briefing on this issue last October. However, that briefing has not yet happened.

Jeff asked if it was appropriate to keep this draft advice moving forward. PIC agreed to continue to work on the draft advice and to engage RAP. The target is to have the draft advice ready for the full HAB to consider it at its June meeting. There will be a need for a PIC call or meeting between now and then to approve the draft advice for sending on to the full Board.

#### Hanford Site Virtual Tour - A Look Behind the Scenes

Scott Stover, DOE, provided an overview of the new virtual tour of the Hanford site. The purpose of the briefing was to introduce the PIC to the virtual tour platform. It is an online, self-guided tour. Scott walked through the link to enter the tour, as well as a demonstration of navigating through the tour. Elements included using a map to locate points of interest, as well as navigation from a sidebar on the left side of the screen.

Most of the pages offer features to see inside buildings and facilities, as well as moving to other parts of the Hanford site.

Scott explained that the tour provides another tool to expand public awareness of Hanford cleanup and to reach people who have not had the opportunity to physically come to the site. It also provides access to some locations that are not accessible to visitors. Feedback on the tour has been positive.

#### Committee Discussion

Jeff Burright asked if the tour would continue to be available after COVID. He observed that the current link to the tour is on the rotating banner on the Hanford website. He asked if there could be a more permanent icon on the website homepage to make it easier to find and access the tour. Scott Stover said that DOE is looking at a redesign of the Hanford website, and he would share that suggestion.

Steve Wiegman suggested that a summary, non-interactive version of the tour could be put at the Tri-Cities airport using screens containing rolling information.

Dan Solitz, Oregon Hanford Cleanup Board, suggested more photographs and visuals to offer a better view of what some of the technical equipment does.

Jeff Burright suggested to Ryan Miller that the TPA agencies combine their efforts to link the virtual tour with permitting and public comment opportunities. Tom Sicilia, Oregon Department of Energy, added a suggestion to link the tour to records searches in the Administrative Record. Scott Stover observed that some suggestions would be easier to implement than others.

PIC did not identify any specific follow up actions to this agenda item.

## Environmental Management Sites - Specific Advisory Board (EMSSAB) Charges

Jeff Burright explained that the HAB chair and vice chair will be attending a meeting of the EMSSAB chairs in April. DOE has asked each of the boards for multiple products and input describing public involvement from their site. The purpose of this agenda topic is to review the key requested products and prepare them for HAB discussion at its March 17-18 meeting. Susan Leckband added that what the

Draft Meeting Summary v1 Public Involvement Committee Page 5 March 16, 2021 EMSSAB chairs are working on is a two-stage process towards developing a national strategy, and this input is just the first step.

The group worked through the PowerPoint slides that outline the potential HAB view on the charges:

- Identify the best practices your site performs and document those on the provided slide
- Identify improvement opportunities for your site and document those on the provided slide
- Identify the top three suggestions for improving stakeholder interactions during the next 10 years and document those on the provided slide.

The drafts of each of these slides contained a lot of words and detail. The group discussed and word smithed the slides to winnow the ideas down to the most important concepts. Revisions were made for several items, including:

- At Hanford, the TPA agencies do outreach, not the HAB.
- Reflecting key points in past HAB advice that contain principles for public involvement
- Educate the public early
- Effective public notice
- Ensure transparent decision making
- How broad is the interested and affected public for Hanford geographically?

In addition to the three PowerPoint slides, the request included completing two Excel spreadsheets that document outreach activities at Hanford in more detail. There was not a lot of time left in the agenda item, so the group did not go over these spreadsheets in detail. The information in the spreadsheets was largely capturing past and existing outreach activities.

The group agreed to send the revised three PowerPoint slides to the full HAB after the PIC meeting so that they could be discussed the following day.

## PIC Work Plan and Preparation for the April Leadership Workshop

The group discussed some items for improving outreach for the HAB, including -

- Adding HAB member photos and links to the organizations they represent on the Hanford website
- A new and improved orientation for HAB members
- Creating goals for HAB members for sharing information back to their groups and their communities
- Moving PIC meetings to Committee Week instead of the day before full HAB meetings
- Post-COVID, identifying what meetings are better done virtually.

Ginger Wireman, Ecology, also informed the committee that Ecology is rolling out a series of short sessions on Hanford topics for the general public.

The PIC chair, PIC past chair, and new PIC vice chair are all invited to the Leadership Workshop in April. They will take the ideas from this discussion and the PIC work plan to feed into the discussions at that meeting.

Draft Meeting Summary v1 Public Involvement Committee Page 6 March 16, 2021 Commented [RN2]: Add this section to the Table of Contents

#### **HAB Member Self-Assessments**

Liz Mattson said that one thing she has been working on is a monthly meet-up for educators to talk about how to bring Hanford issues to classrooms.

Jeff Burright noted that the Oregon Hanford Cleanup Board is meeting next week. It will receive an update on the Hanford Natural Resource Damage Assessment and other topics.

Ginger Wireman said that she had spoken with 13 people last week regarding Hanford employment opportunities, including a few college students.

#### Committee Business & Open Forum

In Open Forum, Steve Wiegman said that he does not want the HAB to steer away from difficult conversations. It is important that we all share our perspectives and build understanding. He suggested that for topics for which DOE is not ready to make a formal presentation, that the HAB pick one of those topics and seek DOE concurrence concurrent to have a targeted Open Forum about a specific issue. He asked if DOE could support that idea.

Gary Younger recommended that idea be discussed at the April Leadership Workshop.

### Attachments

Attachment 1: Agenda

Attachment 2: DDFO slide

Attachment 3: <u>Draft PIC meeting summary for December 2020</u>
Attachment 4: <u>PIC elections process for 2021 chair and vice chair</u>

Attachment 5: Draft Advice: Public Involvement in USDOE or TPA vision cleanup guiding

documents

Attachment 6: Hanford Site Self-guided Virtual Tour

Attachment 7: EMSSAB Charge Templates
Attachment 8: EMSSAB Template Charge #1
Attachment 9: EMSSAB Template Charge #2

Attachment 10: HAB Issue Manager Team list

Attachment 11: PIC work plan

### **Attendees**

## **Board Members and Alternates:**

Kristie, Baptiste-Eke, Primary	Jan Catrell, Alternate	Tom Galioto, Primary
Steve Wiegman, Primary	Becky Holland, Primary	Susan Leckband, Primary
Liz Mattson, Primary	Marissa Merker, Alternate	Vince Panesko, Alternate
Tom Sicilia, Alternate	Chris Sutton, Alternate	Bob Suyama, Primary

Draft Meeting Summary v1 Public Involvement Committee **Commented [RN3]:** Add the TPA Public Involvement Calendar as an Attachments in the meeting summary and send to the webmaster for posting with the meeting materials

Page 7 March 16, 2021

Others:		
	Gary Younger, DOE	Dana Cowley
Ashley Herring, ProSidian	Ruth Nicholson, ProSidian	

Note: Participants for this virtual meeting were asked to sign in with their name and affiliation in the CHAT box of GoToMeeting. Not all attendees shared this information. The attendance list reflects what information was collected at the meeting.